

are scarce. I'm glad I left the main bank-
roll to the mine.

When Scotty returned to his hotel it was
time to ask him: Where did you get the
money? "I took it out of my mine in Death Valley,"
the cowboy said. "It's about 150 miles
east of Los Angeles, but nobody knows
just the location except a few. We've
got a ledge about twenty inches deep,
with the gold in layers. In the last year
and a half I've taken out \$10,000. I've
got a crusher there and two men work
for me. They get \$300 a month apiece.
The ore runs about \$8,000 to the ton and
has run over \$10,000 to the ton and
it might be well to say here that, accord-
ing to Scotty's story, one-seventh of his
ore must be pure gold.

Just now I've got about \$100,000 of ore
in sight. Every time I get a piece of money
I go out and spend my share. Mr. Gerard
and I split the mine. He gave me \$10,000
in 1927. I spent all that on Broadway.
I've been in New York before.
Don't you remember a few years ago that a
miner complained that he had been robbed
of \$10,000? Well, that was me. I gave
out \$10,000 to the mine. Mr. Scott
is coming to-night."

Scott is 27 years old. He is smooth
faced, ruddy, built strongly, weighs
about 180 pounds, and is as lively as
a grig. He wears a blue suit, a blue
shirt, with a red four-in-hand scarf, and a
black felt hat that suits to a peak. He
does not wear boots. He drinks, but does
not seem to mind it.

"I always know what I'm doing," he says.
"Mrs. Scott and I are in the quarter
of wine at a sitting, and we know where
we were when we got through."

"I had \$8,000 when I left California, and
I've got \$10,000 now. That's enough for
a few minutes."

Scotty invaded the Waldorf late in the
afternoon and began to buy wine for his
friends who were invited. News of his
presence went abroad and soon there was
a crowd ten deep around the bar. A police-
man wandered in to see what all the com-
motion was about. Scotty quit buying wine.
"Here I am," he yelled to the policeman,
"arrest me if you want me."

There was no charge and the cop merely
laughed. Scotty was sitting at the bar
when he was arrested. He was taken to the
West End Hotel in 17th street, where he died.

Mr. Scott left the Herald Square Hotel,
early in the night and started up Broad-
way for a stroll. Said to relate, few people
seemed to pay much attention to him. A
few gaffers doing duty on the corner
fell in behind him and a number of women
with chaperone lags kept within hailing dis-
tance.

He stopped in the Rosemont and the
Metropole, and bought drinks, but it
is not stated that he treated. Then
Scotty went to the Hotel Astor, where he
met a wine agent. The wine agent offered
to show him the Haymarket and Scotty
traded alone.

The word got there ahead of the pair
and Scotty was greeted with cheers, wild
shouts that didn't offend the Bill the butcher.
Numerous women went to Scotty's table,
but he frowned sternly. Five minutes
later they were calling him a piker.

Scotty and the wine agent stayed late
at the Haymarket drinking pints.

CROP REPORT SCANDALS.
It is now charged that reports on to-
bacco have been juggled.

WASHINGTON, July 14.—The principal
development to-day in the investigation of
the crop report scandals in the Department
of Agriculture was in the broadening of
the inquiry relative to the statistics on
tobacco.

The various tobacco associations, mainly
those which operate independent of the
so-called trust, are now taking an active
part in the campaign against the reports
of the statistics issued by the Division of
Statistics.

It is alleged that the reports have
been juggled in the same manner as
those on cotton, and that in some instances
they have been manipulated in the interests
of certain concerns.

Secretary Wilson has begun an inquiry
into the subject. He has ordered the in-
vestigation of the publication of the tobacco
statistics of the several districts will be with-
held, although the regular monthly figures
by States will be made public Sunday.

It was said at the Department to-day
that special agents have been sent to two or
three tobacco growing States in the South to
verify or correct the official figures. "This
work will be completed by the end of the
month," it was said.

The disclosures in the Division of Statis-
tics have been accompanied by a discussion
that the Government might discontinue
the publication of crop reports, except
for the cotton trade. Secretary Cheat-
ham said to-day that he did not favor the
abolishment of the system of Government
crop reporting.

As a result of all this scandal, said Mr.
Cheatham, "it is but natural that most peo-
ple should at once decide that the Govern-
ment should drop out of the crop reporting
business. But a second thought on the
subject should be sufficient to reveal the
error. If the Government cotton crop re-
port should be discontinued, the producer
of the staple, the manufacturer who use it
and in fact everybody legitimately con-
cerned, would be at the mercy of the specu-
lator and conditions would be infinitely
worse than now."

"In my opinion the Government should
continue to gather and publish the crop
statistics," Secretary Wilson said. "It is
to do the work and a system devised which
would keep temptation out of the way of
the weak."

A new cotton report, covering planting
time, will undoubtedly be called for by Sec-
retary of Agriculture Wilson. The matter
was discussed at a conference held to-day
between Richard B. Hays, secretary of the
Southern Cotton Growers' Association, and
Col. Henry G. Hester, secretary of the
New Orleans Cotton Exchange.

Mr. Hester arrived here early to-day,
and discussed with Secretary Wilson the
advisability of throwing out the report
made on the 3d inst., which reflected a con-
dition of 77 per cent. which was the con-
dition of the cotton crop. The statement
it broadly made that the figures in the late
report were tampered with. Chief Statis-
tician John Hyde favors a new report and
a matter has been taken under serious
consideration by Secretary Wilson.

VAINLY TRIED TO SAVE MOTHER.
Ten-Year-Old Burned With Carbolic Acid
In Effort to Stop Suicide.

Mrs. Elizabeth Fritz, 36 years old, of
9 South Fourth street, Harrison, N. J.,
took carbolic acid yesterday morning and
died two hours later in St. Michael's Hos-
pital, Newark. As she was taking the
poison, her ten-year-old son, Gustave,
tried to knock the glass from her lips and
his hands were severely burned by the
acid.

Hogan Hails Two Resorts of Women.
Inspector Hogan and the men of his
staff last night raided 77 Cortland street,
a famous law hotel, with a back room fre-
quented by women. Five prisoners were
taken. Soon after they descended on the
Bank café at 116 Bowery, the rear room
of which was crowded. Fifteen women
and thirteen men were arrested.

"SILVER PLATE THAT WEARS."
Your Table Silver
When you buy spoons, forks,
knives, etc., look for the trade
mark.

"1847 ROGERS BROS."
It is the assurance of quality and
the criterion of style.

In buying Candlesticks, Tea
Sets, etc., ask for the goods of
MERIDEN BRITA CO.

NEW EQUITABLE DIRECTORS.

12 REPRESENTATIVE BUSINESS MEN CHOSEN BY TRUSTEES.

Formal Election by Board Yesterday
Qualification Problem Solved. Directors
and Others to Follow—Jerome
and Hunter Lack Hints Over Evidence.

At a special meeting of the board of
directors of the Equitable Life Assurance
Company yesterday the resignations of three
new directors were accepted, and twelve
new directors, all of them representing the
policyholders, were added to the board.
The names of the new directors were sug-
gested to the board for election to existing
vacancies by the trustees of the Equitable's
majority stock, Grover Cleveland, Justice
Morgan J. O'Brien and George Westing-
house. They are:

TERMS EXPIRING DEC. 31, 1905.

WALLACE L. PIERCE of Boston, a prominent
merchant.

DANIEL A. JENKINS of Charlotte, N. C.,
editor of the *Charlotte Observer* and a cotton
manufacturer.

THOMAS SPIRIT of Ogdensburg, N. Y.,
a member of the dry goods firm of Stern Bros.

FRANK S. WEINBERGER of Port Henry, N. Y.,
an iron manufacturer.

JAMES MCMAHON of New York, president
of the Equitable Industrial Savings Bank.

TERMS EXPIRING DEC. 31, 1906.

WILLIAM F. MCCOOK of Pittsburgh,
insurance executive. CHARLES E. LUTHER-
FIELD of Maine.

TERMS EXPIRING DEC. 31, 1907.

NEVADA N. STRANAHAN, collector of the
Port of New York.

D-CADY HERRICK, lately Democratic candi-
date for Governor.

TERMS EXPIRING DEC. 31, 1908.

NICHOLAS MURRAY BUTLER, president of
Columbia University.

CHARLES ZERNER, a Philadelphia coal
operator.

All of these men, it is understood, have
been consulted by the trustees and have
expressed a willingness to accept. In
making the selections the trustees were
guided in this instance, as they were when
they named the first group of directors, by
the suggestions of policyholders in all parts
of the country. Expressions of opinion
regarding candidates were openly invited
by the trustees from all policyholders, and
thousands of letters were received by them.

As in the election of the first group also, an
effort was made by the trustees to have the
new men representative of the country at
large rather than of any particular sec-
tion.

The resignations accepted were those of
Louis Fitzgerald, H. C. Deeming and C. O.
Morton. That Fitzgerald and Deeming
would retire has been known for some time,
but the resignation of Mr. Morton came as a
surprise. He was one of the nine directors
named by the trustees as representing the
policyholders in the first group. Mr. Morton
gave as his reason for declining the
election pressure of business. Mr. Deeming
is president of the Mercantile Trust Com-
pany, one of the Equitable's subsidiary
institutions. Both he and Fitzgerald were
members of the Hyde underwriting syn-
dicate.

With the election of the twelve new di-
rectors the board's membership foots up
to forty-seven; only five less than the full
quota provided for in the society's charter.
Its composition, with the above twelve, is
as follows:

Paul Morton, J. W. Alexander, Chauncey
M. Depey, Thomas D. Jordan, V. P. Snyder,
Alvin W. Kreeb, William Alexander, John J.
McCook, James H. Morgan, C. L. Leland, E.
James H. Hyde, William C. Van Horn, C.
E. Tarbell, C. B. Alexander, T. De Witt Cuyler,
J. F. de Navarro, Bradish Johnson, Levi P.
Morton, H. R. Winthrop, George J. Gould,
Thomas L. Eckert, George T. Wilson, William
H. McIntyre, H. M. Alexander, Samuel M.
Inman, H. C. Haastick, David H. Moffat,
E. B. Thomas, William Whitman, Ferdinand
W. Roehling, E. W. Bloomingdale, J. G.
Schmidlapp, E. W. Robertson, J. J. Albright
and James Bryant.

Only twenty-six of the fifty directors
who composed the board when the Alex-
ander-Hyde controversy began are left,
and before the reorganization is complete
this number, it was said yesterday, will
probably be cut to about twenty.

The elections yesterday gave the policy-
holders twenty of the twenty-eight direc-
tors they are entitled to have under the
terms of the trust agreement executed be-
tween Thomas H. Ryan and Mr. Cleve-
land, Justice O'Brien and Mr. Westing-
house. The present five vacancies, and
any others which may occur within the
next few days, it was said yesterday, will
probably not be filled by the trustees until
fall. It is doubtful whether the trustees
will come together again before then.

No definite announcement was made
yesterday in regard to the method of qual-
ifying the new directors, but it was said
that the qualifications would probably be
those which would be required under the
general insurance law which provides that
any policyholder in a life insurance com-
pany should be a resident of that State.

The Equitable's charter provides that
every director must be the owner of five
shares of the society's capital stock, but
that the policyholder may qualify him-
self without complying with this provision.

Several of the newly elected directors were
prominent in the controversy, and move-
ments in different parts of the country
when the controversy was at its height
within the society. Mr. Spratt of Ogdens-
burg, for instance, was chairman of the
policyholders' committee of that city.

Mr. Stranahan has been a policy-
holder in the society for more than twenty
years. He said yesterday that Mr. Cleve-
land had asked him personally to accept
a place on the board and he regarded it as
his duty to do so.

About twenty directors attended the
meeting yesterday, which lasted only about
twenty minutes.

After the meeting a conference was held
in the offices of the Mercantile Safe Deposit
company at which James H. Hyde, Justice
H. McIntyre, William C. Gulliver, Mr.
Hyde's lawyer, and William Nelson Crom-
well, counsel for James W. Alexander, were
present. None of the parties to the con-
ference would say what the purpose of it
was.

Assistant District Attorney Francis P.
Garvan, acting for Mr. Alexander, made an
attempt yesterday to get the testi-
mony of the policyholders in the Equitable
investigation from Deputy Superintendent of
Insurance Robert H. Hunter, but failed. It
was morning when Mr. Garvan made his trip
to the office of the State Department of
Insurance at 11 Broadway. He had with
him a receipt for a copy of the testimony,
which he was willing to give for the testi-
mony if it were handed over without any
implications as to its return, to which the
District Attorney has objected.

Mr. Hunter refused at first to discuss the
visit of the Assistant District Attorney, but
later he was quoted as saying to a friend:
"This fellow Garvan came down here
and said he was instructed to get a copy
of the testimony. I told him we had only
the official copy, and but one, and that it
might be needed any time by the Depart-
ment of Insurance. I told him that I could
have it if he gave a receipt for it and took
it with the understanding that I could have
it back on any day. He said he was willing
to take it, and I told him that there was
no use of us having any argument over it."

Mr. Hunter refused at first to discuss the
visit of the Assistant District Attorney, but
later he was quoted as saying to a friend:
"This fellow Garvan came down here
and said he was instructed to get a copy
of the testimony. I told him we had only
the official copy, and but one, and that it
might be needed any time by the Depart-
ment of Insurance. I told him that I could
have it if he gave a receipt for it and took
it with the understanding that I could have
it back on any day. He said he was willing
to take it, and I told him that there was
no use of us having any argument over it."

Mr. Hunter refused at first to discuss the
visit of the Assistant District Attorney, but
later he was quoted as saying to a friend:
"This fellow Garvan came down here
and said he was instructed to get a copy
of the testimony. I told him we had only
the official copy, and but one, and that it
might be needed any time by the Depart-
ment of Insurance. I told him that I could
have it if he gave a receipt for it and took
it with the understanding that I could have
it back on any day. He said he was willing
to take it, and I told him that there was
no use of us having any argument over it."

Mr. Hunter refused at first to discuss the
visit of the Assistant District Attorney, but
later he was quoted as saying to a friend:
"This fellow Garvan came down here
and said he was instructed to get a copy
of the testimony. I told him we had only
the official copy, and but one, and that it
might be needed any time by the Depart-
ment of Insurance. I told him that I could
have it if he gave a receipt for it and took
it with the understanding that I could have
it back on any day. He said he was willing
to take it, and I told him that there was
no use of us having any argument over it."

Mr. Hunter refused at first to discuss the
visit of the Assistant District Attorney, but
later he was quoted as saying to a friend:
"This fellow Garvan came down here
and said he was instructed to get a copy
of the testimony. I told him we had only
the official copy, and but one, and that it
might be needed any time by the Depart-
ment of Insurance. I told him that I could
have it if he gave a receipt for it and took
it with the understanding that I could have
it back on any day. He said he was willing
to take it, and I told him that there was
no use of us having any argument over it."

Mr. Hunter refused at first to discuss the
visit of the Assistant District Attorney, but
later he was quoted as saying to a friend:
"This fellow Garvan came down here
and said he was instructed to get a copy
of the testimony. I told him we had only
the official copy, and but one, and that it
might be needed any time by the Depart-
ment of Insurance. I told him that I could
have it if he gave a receipt for it and took
it with the understanding that I could have
it back on any day. He said he was willing
to take it, and I told him that there was
no use of us having any argument over it."

Mr. Hunter refused at first to discuss the
visit of the Assistant District Attorney, but
later he was quoted as saying to a friend:
"This fellow Garvan came down here
and said he was instructed to get a copy
of the testimony. I told him we had only
the official copy, and but one, and that it
might be needed any time by the Depart-
ment of Insurance. I told him that I could
have it if he gave a receipt for it and took
it with the understanding that I could have
it back on any day. He said he was willing
to take it, and I told him that there was
no use of us having any argument over it."

Mr. Hunter refused at first to discuss the
visit of the Assistant District Attorney, but
later he was quoted as saying to a friend:
"This fellow Garvan came down here
and said he was instructed to get a copy
of the testimony. I told him we had only
the official copy, and but one, and that it
might be needed any time by the Depart-
ment of Insurance. I told him that I could
have it if he gave a receipt for it and took
it with the understanding that I could have
it back on any day. He said he was willing
to take it, and I told him that there was
no use of us having any argument over it."

Mr. Hunter refused at first to discuss the
visit of the Assistant District Attorney, but
later he was quoted as saying to a friend:
"This fellow Garvan came down here
and said he was instructed to get a copy
of the testimony. I told him we had only
the official copy, and but one, and that it
might be needed any time by the Depart-
ment of Insurance. I told him that I could
have it if he gave a receipt for it and took
it with the understanding that I could have
it back on any day. He said he was willing
to take it, and I told him that there was
no use of us having any argument over it."

Mr. Hunter refused at first to discuss the
visit of the Assistant District Attorney, but
later he was quoted as saying to a friend:
"This fellow Garvan came down here
and said he was instructed to get a copy
of the testimony. I told him we had only
the official copy, and but one, and that it
might be needed any time by the Depart-
ment of Insurance. I told him that I could
have it if he gave a receipt for it and took
it with the understanding that I could have
it back on any day. He said he was willing
to take it, and I told him that there was
no use of us having any argument over it."

Mr. Hunter refused at first to discuss the
visit of the Assistant District Attorney, but
later he was quoted as saying to a friend:
"This fellow Garvan came down here
and said he was instructed to get a copy
of the testimony. I told him we had only
the official copy, and but one, and that it
might be needed any time by the Depart-
ment of Insurance. I told him that I could
have it if he gave a receipt for it and took
it with the understanding that I could have
it back on any day. He said he was willing
to take it, and I told him that there was
no use of us having any argument over it."

Mr. Hunter refused at first to discuss the
visit of the Assistant District Attorney, but
later he was quoted as saying to a friend:
"This fellow Garvan came down here
and said he was instructed to get a copy
of the testimony. I told him we had only
the official copy, and but one, and that it
might be needed any time by the Depart-
ment of Insurance. I told him that I could
have it if he gave a receipt for it and took
it with the understanding that I could have
it back on any day. He said he was willing
to take it, and I told him that there was
no use of us having any argument over it."

Mr. Hunter refused at first to discuss the
visit of the Assistant District Attorney, but
later he was quoted as saying to a friend:
"This fellow Garvan came down here
and said he was instructed to get a copy
of the testimony. I told him we had only
the official copy, and but one, and that it
might be needed any time by the Depart-
ment of Insurance. I told him that I could
have it if he gave a receipt for it and took
it with the understanding that I could have
it back on any day. He said he was willing
to take it, and I told him that there was
no use of us having any argument over it."

Mr. Hunter refused at first to discuss the
visit of the Assistant District Attorney, but
later he was quoted as saying to a friend:
"This fellow Garvan came down here
and said he was instructed to get a copy
of the testimony. I told him we had only
the official copy, and but one, and that it
might be needed any time by the Depart-
ment of Insurance. I told him that I could
have it if he gave a receipt for it and took
it with the understanding that I could have
it back on any day. He said he was willing
to take it, and I told him that there was
no use of us having any argument over it."

as I was a subordinate, just as he was, and I
hadn't received any instructions to deliver
it. In any other way I couldn't have done
it."

But, Hunter is quoted as adding, "I
know what Jerome wants—he wants to take
a copy and parade up along Park row,
showing that he has forced us to give him
a copy."

When Mr. Garvan got back to the District
Attorney's office he explained to Mr. Jerome
that Mr. Hunter told him that he had re-
ceived a copy of the evidence on July 8.
It was a week later that Mr. Hunter wrote
to Mr. Jerome saying that the evidence
was "accessible" to Mr. Jerome.

On Wednesday Mr. Jerome telephoned to the
assistant superintendent of the office, to the
office of the Superintendent of Insurance in
Albany, and to the office of the District At-
torney in Syracuse. He couldn't find out any-
thing about the evidence.

After getting Mr. Garvan's report, Mr.
Jerome had a talk over the long distance
telephone with Frank Perley, Gov. Higgins's
secretary, at Albany. Later in the day Mr.
Jerome wrote to Gov. Higgins.
Mr. Jerome believes that Gov. Higgins
has done everything in his power to get
him a copy of the testimony. If Mr. Jerome
way he may start John Higgins' proceedings
and issue a subpoena duces tecum for the
evidence. In that way he believes he
could even get the original copy of the
evidence made this statement on his failure
to get the evidence.

Mr. Garvan, having left my letter of July
14th at the office of the Superintendent of
Insurance in the city of New York, this morn-
ing had a conversation with the Deputy
State Superintendent of Insurance, Mr. John
H. Barry, who is authorized to deliver the
testimony. I am informed by him that Mr.
Hunter, without any authority to do so, is
issuing a paper acknowledging that the copy
was the property of the State, and is making
an attempt to return it within a reasonable
time, and agreeing to return it on demand.

I understand from the Governor's letter
that the Superintendent of Insurance had been
instructed to give a copy of the testimony
and had stated to the Governor that he would
do so as soon as one could be prepared, but
it does not seem to me to be proper that a
copy under conditions apparently not de-
signed by the Governor to be issued, should
be issued by the Superintendent of Insurance
without the Governor's order.

Mr. Garvan, having left my letter of July
14th at the office of the Superintendent of
Insurance in the city of New York, this morn-
ing had a conversation with the Deputy
State Superintendent of Insurance, Mr. John
H. Barry, who is authorized to deliver the
testimony. I am informed by him that Mr.
Hunter, without any authority to do so, is
issuing a paper acknowledging that the copy
was the property of the State, and is making
an attempt to return it within a reasonable
time, and agreeing to return it on demand.

I understand from the Governor's letter
that the Superintendent of Insurance had been
instructed to give a copy of the testimony
and had stated to the Governor that he would
do so as soon as one could be prepared, but
it does not seem to me to be proper that a
copy under conditions apparently not de-
signed by the Governor to be issued, should
be issued by the Superintendent of Insurance
without the Governor's order.

Mr. Garvan, having left my letter of July
14th at the office of the Superintendent of
Insurance in the city of New York, this morn-
ing had a conversation with the Deputy
State Superintendent of Insurance, Mr. John
H. Barry, who is authorized to deliver the
testimony. I am informed by him that Mr.
Hunter, without any authority to do so, is
issuing a paper acknowledging that the copy
was the property of the State, and is making
an attempt to return it within a reasonable
time, and agreeing to return it on demand.

I understand from the Governor's letter
that the Superintendent of Insurance had been
instructed to give a copy of the testimony
and had stated to the Governor that he would
do so as soon as one could be prepared, but
it does not seem to me to be proper that a
copy under conditions apparently not de-
signed by the Governor to be issued, should
be issued by the Superintendent of Insurance
without the Governor's order.

Mr. Garvan, having left my letter of July
14th at the office of the Superintendent of
Insurance in the city of New York, this morn-
ing had a conversation with the Deputy
State Superintendent of Insurance, Mr. John
H. Barry, who is authorized to deliver the
testimony. I am informed by him that Mr.
Hunter, without any authority to do so, is
issuing a paper acknowledging that the copy
was the property of the State, and is making
an attempt to return it within a reasonable
time, and agreeing to return it on demand.

I understand from the Governor's letter
that the Superintendent of Insurance had been
instructed to give a copy of the testimony
and had stated to the Governor that he would
do so as soon as one could be prepared, but
it does not seem to me to be proper that a
copy under conditions apparently not de-
signed by the Governor to be issued, should
be issued by the Superintendent of Insurance
without the Governor's order.

Mr. Garvan, having left my letter of July
14th at the office of the Superintendent of
Insurance in the city of New York, this morn-
ing had a conversation with the Deputy
State Superintendent of Insurance, Mr. John
H. Barry, who is authorized to deliver the
testimony. I am informed by him that Mr.
Hunter, without any authority to do so, is
issuing a paper acknowledging that the copy
was the property of the State, and is making
an attempt to return it within a reasonable
time, and agreeing to return it on demand.

I understand from the Governor's letter
that the Superintendent of Insurance had been
instructed to give a copy of the testimony
and had stated to the Governor that he would
do so as soon as one could be prepared, but
it does not seem to me to be proper that a
copy under conditions apparently not de-
signed by the Governor to be issued, should
be issued by the Superintendent of Insurance
without the Governor's order.

Mr. Garvan, having left my letter of July
14th at the office of the Superintendent of
Insurance in the city of New York, this morn-
ing had a conversation with the Deputy
State Superintendent of Insurance, Mr. John
H. Barry, who is authorized to deliver the
testimony. I am informed by him that Mr.
Hunter, without any authority to do so, is
issuing a paper acknowledging that the copy
was the property of the State, and is making
an attempt to return it within a reasonable
time, and agreeing to return it on demand.

I understand from the Governor's letter
that the Superintendent of Insurance had been
instructed to give a copy of the testimony
and had stated to the Governor that he would
do so as soon as one could be prepared, but
it does not seem to me to be proper that a
copy under conditions apparently not de-
signed by the Governor to be issued, should
be issued by the Superintendent of Insurance
without the Governor's order.

Mr. Garvan, having left my letter of July
14th at the office of the Superintendent of
Insurance in the city of New York, this morn-
ing had a conversation with the Deputy
State Superintendent of Insurance, Mr. John
H. Barry, who is authorized to deliver the
testimony. I am informed by him that Mr.
Hunter, without any authority to do so, is
issuing a paper acknowledging that the copy
was the property of the State, and is making
an attempt to return it within a reasonable
time, and agreeing to return it on demand.

I understand from the Governor's letter
that the Superintendent of Insurance had been
instructed to give a copy of the testimony
and had stated to the Governor that he would
do so as soon as one could be prepared, but
it does not seem to me to be proper that a
copy under conditions apparently not de-
signed by the Governor to be issued, should
be issued by the Superintendent of Insurance
without the Governor's order.

Mr. Garvan, having left my letter of July
14th at the office of the Superintendent of
Insurance in the city of New York, this morn-
ing had a conversation with the Deputy
State Superintendent of Insurance, Mr. John
H. Barry, who is authorized to deliver the
testimony. I am informed by him that Mr.
Hunter, without any authority to do so, is
issuing a paper acknowledging that the copy
was the property of the State, and is making
an attempt to return it within a reasonable
time, and agreeing to return it on demand.

I understand from the Governor's letter
that the Superintendent of Insurance had been
instructed to give a copy of the testimony
and had stated to the Governor that he would
do so as soon as one could be prepared, but
it does not seem to me to be proper that a
copy under conditions apparently not de-
signed by the Governor to be issued, should
be issued by the Superintendent of Insurance
without the Governor's order.

Mr. Garvan, having left my letter of July
14th at the office of the Superintendent of
Insurance in the city of New York, this morn-
ing had a conversation with the Deputy
State Superintendent of Insurance, Mr. John
H. Barry, who is authorized to deliver the
testimony. I am informed by him that Mr.
Hunter, without any authority to do so, is
issuing a paper acknowledging that the copy
was the property of the State, and is making
an attempt to return it within a reasonable
time, and agreeing to return it on demand.

I understand from the Governor's letter
that the Superintendent of Insurance had been
instructed to give a copy of the testimony
and had stated to the Governor that he would
do so as soon as one could be prepared, but
it does not seem to me to be proper that a
copy under conditions apparently not de-
signed by the Governor to be issued, should
be issued by the Superintendent of Insurance
without the Governor's order.

Mr. Garvan, having left my letter of July
14th at the office of the Superintendent of
Insurance in the city of New York, this morn-
ing had a conversation with the Deputy
State Superintendent of Insurance, Mr. John
H. Barry, who is authorized to deliver the
testimony. I am informed by him that Mr.
Hunter, without any authority to do so, is
issuing a paper acknowledging that the copy
was the property of the State, and is making
an attempt to return it within a reasonable
time, and agreeing to return it on demand.

I understand from the Governor's letter
that the Superintendent of Insurance had been
instructed to give a copy of the testimony
and had stated to the Governor that he would
do so as soon as one could be prepared, but
it does not seem to me to be proper that a
copy under conditions apparently not de-
signed by the Governor to be issued, should
be issued by the Superintendent of Insurance
without the Governor's order.

Mr. Garvan, having left my letter of July
14th at the office of the Superintendent of
Insurance in the city of New York, this morn-
ing had a conversation with the Deputy
State Superintendent of Insurance, Mr. John
H. Barry, who is authorized to deliver the
testimony. I am informed by him that Mr.
Hunter, without any authority to do so, is
issuing a paper acknowledging that the copy
was the property of the State, and is making
an attempt to return it within a reasonable
time, and agreeing to return it on demand.

I understand from the Governor's letter
that the Superintendent of Insurance had been
instructed to give a copy of the testimony
and had stated to the Governor that he would
do so as soon as one could be prepared, but
it does not seem to me to be proper that a
copy under conditions apparently not de-
signed by the Governor to be issued, should
be issued by the Superintendent of Insurance
without the Governor's order.